

## **Exam - Statistics (WBMA009-05) 2025/2026**

**Date and time:** November 5, 2025, 11.45-13.45h

**Place:** Exam Hall 4, Blauwborgje 4

### **Rules to follow:**

- This is a closed book exam. Consultation of books and notes is **not** permitted. You may use a simple (non-programmable) calculator.
- Write your name and student number on each sheet of paper.
- There are 5 exercises worth a total of 36 points.
- Your exam grade will be computed as follows:

$$\text{grade} = (\text{number of points} + 4) \cdot 0.25$$

rounded to the nearest 0.5, with the usual exception that 5.5 is not possible.

- ALWAYS include the relevant equation(s) and/or short derivations.
- **We wish you success in completing the exam!**

**START OF EXAM  
ON NEXT PAGE**

1. **Random sample.** 8

Consider a distribution  $\mathcal{D}(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  with density

$$f_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(x) = \frac{1 - \theta_1}{\theta_2} \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{x}{\theta_2}\right\} \cdot I_{(-\infty, 0)}(x) + \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{x}{\theta_2}\right\} \cdot I_{[0, \infty)}(x)$$

where  $0 < \theta_1 < 1$  is **unknown**, and  $\theta_2 > 0$  is **known**, and a random sample

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{D}(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

The log likelihood is then given by:

$$l(\theta_1) = K \cdot \log(\theta_1) + (n - K) \cdot \log(1 - \theta_1) - n \cdot \log(\theta_2) - \frac{S}{\theta_2}$$

where  $S := \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|$ , and  $K$  is the number of random variables with non-negative values. This implies for the order statistics:

$$X_{(1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n-K)} < 0 \leq X_{(n-K+1)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$$

$$\text{and we have } \sum_{i=1}^{n-K} X_{(i)} + \sum_{i=n-K+1}^n -X_{(i)} = -\sum_{i=1}^n |X_{(i)}| = -S.$$

- (a) 2 Show that  $K$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta_1$ .
- (b) 2 Show that  $P(X_1 > 0) = \theta_1$  and compute  $E[K]$ .
- (c) 2 Compute the ML estimator of  $\theta_1$  and show that it is unbiased.
- (d) 2 Show that the Fisher information for sample size  $n = 1$  is  $I(\theta_1) = \frac{1}{\theta_1(1-\theta_1)}$ .

2. **UMP test.** 6

Consider a random sample of size  $n = 9$ :  $X_1, \dots, X_9 \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma^2 = 1$  is known and  $\mu$  is **unknown**.

- (a) 3 Derive the uniformly most powerful test (UMP) for

$$H_0 : \mu = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu = 1$$

- (b) 3 Give the critical value **for the density ratio test statistic** of this UMP test at the level  $\alpha = 0.1$ . You may use the quantiles from Table 1.

**HINT:** The  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  density is:  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right\}$ .

$\alpha$	0.025	0.05	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.95	0.975
$\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$	-1.96	-1.64	-1.28	0	1.28	1.64	1.96

Table 1: Quantiles  $q_\alpha$  of the  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  distribution.

### 3. Asymptotic confidence intervals and tests. 10

Consider a random sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  from a Negative Binomial distribution with known parameter  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and **unknown** probability parameter  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Recall that the density and the expectation of a Negative Binomial are

$$f(x) = \binom{x+r-1}{x} \cdot (1-\theta)^x \cdot \theta^r \quad (x \in \mathbb{N}_0), \quad \text{and} \quad E[X] = \frac{(1-\theta)r}{\theta}$$

- (a) 2 Show that the ML estimator of  $\theta$  is:  $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = r/(r + \bar{X})$ , where  $\bar{X} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

It suffices to show that the 1st derivative of the log-likelihood is equal to zero.

- (b) 2 Show that the expected Fisher information (for sample size 1) is

$$I(\theta) = \frac{r}{\theta^2 \cdot (1-\theta)}$$

**From now on we assume that**  $r = 2$ ,  $n = 20$  and that  $\bar{X} = 8$  has been observed.

- (c) 3 Make use of the asymptotic efficiency of the ML estimator and give a one-sided asymptotic 95% confidence interval  $(-\infty, U]$  for  $\theta$ .
- (d) 3 Check whether a score-test to the level  $\alpha = 0.05$  would reject the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \theta = 0.25$  in favor of the alternative  $H_1 : \theta \neq 0.25$ .

**HINTS:** You can use the quantiles from Table 1.

Score test:  $\frac{d}{d\theta} l_X(\theta) / \sqrt{n \cdot I(\theta)}$  is asymptotically  $N(0, 1)$  distributed.

### 4. Multivariate Gaussian distribution. 4

Consider a random sample from a Gaussian distribution

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

Show that the random vector  $\mathbf{X} := (X_1, \dots, X_n)^\top$  has an  $n$ -dimensional Gaussian distribution with mean vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mu \mathbf{1}$  and covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ , where  $\mathbf{I}$  is the identity matrix and  $\mathbf{1}$  is a vector of ones.

**HINT:** Densities of the  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and the  $n$ -variate  $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  Gaussian distributions:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \right\} \\ f(\mathbf{x}) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \cdot \det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{-1/2} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

5. **Properties of Maximum Likelihood Estimators.** 8

Consider a random sample from a distribution  $\mathcal{F}_\theta$  that depends on one unknown parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{F}_\theta$$

Let  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML},n}$  denote the ML estimator of  $\theta$ , and  $l'_X(\theta)$  and  $l''_X(\theta)$  be the 1st and 2nd derivatives of the log-likelihood  $l_X(\theta)$ , respectively.

(a) 4 Show that for large sample sizes  $n$ :

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML},n} - \theta) \approx -\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{l'_X(\theta)}{l''_X(\theta)}$$

(b) 4 Show that for large sample sizes  $n$ :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{I(\theta)}} \cdot l'_X(\theta) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

where  $I(\theta)$  is the expected Fisher information (of a sample of size 1).

**HINT:** You may assume that all required regularity conditions are satisfied. In part (b) you can use that

$$I(\theta) = E \left[ \left( \frac{d}{d\theta} l_{X_i}(\theta) \right)^2 \right] \quad \text{and} \quad E \left[ \frac{d}{d\theta} l_{X_i}(\theta) \right] = 0.$$

## EXERCISE 1 - SOLUTIONS

(a) Likelihood:

$$L(\theta_1) = \left(\frac{1-\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{n-K} \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-K} x_{(i)}}{\theta_2}\right\} \cdot \left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^K \cdot \exp\left\{\frac{-\sum_{i=n-K+1}^n x_{(i)}}{\theta_2}\right\}$$

The factorization theorem with

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \exp\left\{\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n |x_{(i)}|}{\theta_2}\right\} = \exp\left\{\frac{-S}{\theta_2}\right\} \\ g(K, \theta_1) &= \left(\frac{1-\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{n-K} \cdot \left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^K \end{aligned}$$

implies that  $K$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta_1$

(b)

$$P(X_i > 0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{x}{\theta_2}\right\} dx = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \cdot \left(-\theta_2 \exp\left\{-\frac{\infty}{\theta_2}\right\} + \theta_2 \exp\left\{-\frac{0}{\theta_2}\right\}\right) = \theta_1$$

As we have a random sample of size  $n$ , it follows:  $E[K] = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_1 = n \cdot \theta_1$ .

(c) Take the derivatives w.r.t.  $\theta_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} l'(\theta_1) &= \frac{K}{\theta_1} - (n-K) \cdot \frac{1}{1-\theta_1} \\ l''(\theta_1) &= -\frac{K}{\theta_1^2} - (n-K) \cdot \frac{1}{(1-\theta_1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Setting the first derivative equal to zero, yields:

$$l'(\theta_1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow K(1-\theta_1) - (n-K) \cdot \theta_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \theta_1 = \frac{K}{n}$$

And as  $l''(\theta_1) < 0$  for all  $\theta_1$ , we indeed have a maximum, so that  $\hat{\theta}_{1,ML} = \frac{K}{n}$ .

To check whether the ML estimator is unbiased, we compute:

$$E[\hat{\theta}_{1,ML}] = E\left[\frac{K}{n}\right] = \frac{E[K]}{n} = \frac{n \cdot \theta_1}{n} = \theta_1$$

where we used the result from exercise part (b).

(d) We re-use the 2nd derivative of the log-likelihood from part (c), and we recall from part (b) that  $E[K] = n \cdot \theta_1$ . For  $n = 1$  we get:

$$I(\theta_1) = -E\left[-\frac{K}{\theta_1^2} - (1-K)\frac{1}{(1-\theta_1)^2}\right] = \frac{E[K]}{\theta_1^2} + \frac{1-E[K]}{(1-\theta_1)^2} = \frac{1}{\theta_1} + \frac{1}{1-\theta_1} = \frac{1}{\theta_1(1-\theta_1)}$$

**EXERCISE 2 - SOLUTIONS:****(a)** Density ratio:

$$\begin{aligned}
W(X) &= \frac{f_{0,1}(X_1, \dots, X_9)}{f_{1,1}(X_1, \dots, X_9)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^9 f_{0,1}(X_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^9 f_{1,1}(X_i)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^9 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{1} \cdot \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(X_i-0)^2}{1^2}\}}{\prod_{i=1}^9 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{1} \cdot \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(X_i-1)^2}{1^2}\}} \\
&= \frac{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^9 X_i^2\}}{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^9 (X_i - 1)^2\}} = \exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^9 X_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^9 (X_i^2 - 2X_i + 1)\} \\
&= \exp\{4.5 - \sum_{i=1}^9 X_i\}
\end{aligned}$$

$W(X)$  is monotone decreasing in  $\sum_{i=1}^9 X_i$ . Hence the UMP rejects if  $\sum_{i=1}^9 X_i > k$

**(b)** Under  $H_0$  we have:  $\sum_{i=1}^9 X_i \sim N(0, 9)$ , so that  $\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^9 X_i \sim N(0, 1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{H_0}(W(X_1, \dots, X_9) < k) &\Leftrightarrow P_{H_0}(\exp\{4.5 - \sum_{i=1}^9 X_i\} < k) \\
&\Leftrightarrow P_{H_0}(\sum_{i=1}^9 X_i > 4.5 - \log(k)) \Leftrightarrow P_{H_0}(\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^9 X_i > 1.5 - \log(k)/3)
\end{aligned}$$

So  $(1.5 - \log(k)/3)$  must be the 0.9-quantile  $q_{0.9} = 1.28$  of the  $N(0, 1)$  distribution.

$$1.5 - \log(k)/3 = 1.28 \Leftrightarrow k = \exp(0.66) \approx 1.93$$

Hence, the UMP rejects  $H_0$  if:  $W(X) < 1.93$ .

### EXERCISE 3 - SOLUTIONS

(a): Log-likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned}
 l_X(\theta) &= \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{x_i + r - 1}{x_i} \cdot (1 - \theta)^{x_i} \cdot \theta^r \right) \\
 &= \log \left( \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{x_i + r - 1}{x_i} \right) \cdot (1 - \theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \cdot \theta^{n r} \right) \\
 &= \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{x_i + r - 1}{x_i} \right) + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \log(1 - \theta) + n r \log(\theta)
 \end{aligned}$$

Take the derivative w.r.t.  $\theta$  and set it to 0:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1 - \theta} + \frac{n r}{\theta} = 0 &\Leftrightarrow -\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)\theta + n r(1 - \theta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow -(nr + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)\theta + n r = 0 \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \theta = \frac{n r}{n r + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{ML} = \frac{r}{r + \bar{X}}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b): For  $n = 1$  we have:  $\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} l_{X_1}(\theta) = \frac{-x_1}{(1-\theta)^2} - \frac{r}{\theta^2}$ , and the Fisher information is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(\theta) &= -E_\theta \left[ \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} l_{X_1}(\theta) \right] = E_\theta \left[ \frac{X_1}{(1 - \theta)^2} + \frac{r}{\theta^2} \right] = \frac{E[X_1]}{(1 - \theta)^2} + \frac{r}{\theta^2} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{r(1-\theta)}{\theta}}{(1 - \theta)^2} + \frac{r}{\theta^2} = \frac{r}{(1 - \theta)\theta} + \frac{r}{\theta^2} = \frac{r\theta + r(1 - \theta)}{(1 - \theta)\theta^2} = \frac{r}{\theta^2(1 - \theta)}
 \end{aligned}$$

(c): Asymptotically  $\sqrt{I(\theta)}\sqrt{n} \cdot (\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \theta) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , hence:

$$P(q_{0.05} \leq \sqrt{I(\theta)}\sqrt{n} \cdot (\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \theta)) = 0.95 \Leftrightarrow P(\hat{\theta}_{ML} - \frac{q_{0.05}}{\sqrt{I(\theta)} \cdot \sqrt{n}} \geq \theta) = 0.95$$

With  $q_{0.05} = -1.64$  the one-sided 95% CI for  $\theta$  is:  $(-\infty, \hat{\theta}_{ML} + \frac{1.64}{\sqrt{I(\hat{\theta}_{ML})} \cdot \sqrt{n}}]$

Here we have  $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = 0.2$  and  $\frac{1.64}{\sqrt{I(\hat{\theta}_{ML})} \cdot \sqrt{n}} = \frac{1.64}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{0.2^2 \cdot 0.8}} \sqrt{20}} \approx 0.047$ .

So the one-sided CI is:  $(-\infty, 0.247]$ .

(d): Asymptotically:  $\frac{\frac{d}{d\theta} l_X(\theta)}{\sqrt{n \cdot I(\theta)}} \sim N(0, 1)$  where  $\frac{d}{d\theta} l_X(\theta) = \frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1 - \theta} + \frac{n r}{\theta}$ .

Given  $r = 2$ ,  $\bar{X} = 8$  and  $n = 20$  and  $\theta_0 = 0.25$  we get:

$$\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1 - \theta} + \frac{n r}{\theta} = \frac{-160}{1 - 0.25} + \frac{40}{0.25} \approx -53.33 \text{ and } \sqrt{n \cdot I(\theta)} = \sqrt{20 \cdot \frac{2}{0.25^2 \cdot 0.75}} \approx 29.21$$

Therefore the score test statistic takes the value:  $\frac{\frac{d}{d\theta} l_X(\theta)}{\sqrt{n \cdot I(\theta)}} = \frac{-53.33}{29.21} \approx -1.83$ .

As the value is **not** lower than the  $q_{0.025}$  quantile  $-1.96$  of the  $N(0, 1)$ , the score test would **NOT** reject the null hypothesis to the level 0.05.

## EXERCISE 4 - SOLUTIONS

Show that the joint density and the multivariate density are identical:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\mathbf{x}) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \cdot \det(\sigma^2 \cdot \mathbf{I})^{-1/2} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mu \cdot \mathbf{1})^T (\sigma^2 \cdot \mathbf{I})^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu \cdot \mathbf{1}) \right\} \\
 &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^n} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \\
 &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^n} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n (2\pi)^{-1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \right\} = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

## EXERCISE 5 - SOLUTIONS

(a) 1st order Taylor series expansion of  $l'_X(\cdot)$  around the true parameter  $\theta$  yields:

$$l'_X(\theta^*) \approx l'_X(\theta) + (\theta^* - \theta) \cdot l''_X(\theta)$$

Plug in  $\theta^* = \hat{\theta}_{ML,n}$  (which for large  $n$  will be close to the true  $\theta$ ):

$$l'_X(\hat{\theta}_{ML,n}) \approx l'_X(\theta) + (\hat{\theta}_{ML,n} - \theta) \cdot l''_X(\theta)$$

As  $l'_X(\hat{\theta}_{ML,n}) = 0$ , we get:

$$0 \approx l'_X(\theta) + (\hat{\theta}_{ML,n} - \theta) \cdot l''_X(\theta)$$

what can be transformed into:

$$-\frac{l'_X(\theta)}{l''_X(\theta)} \approx \hat{\theta}_{ML,n} - \theta \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -\sqrt{n} \frac{l'_X(\theta)}{l''_X(\theta)} \approx \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_{ML,n} - \theta)$$

(b) We have:

$$l'_X(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} l_X(\theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(X_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{d\theta} l_{X_i}(\theta)$$

This is the sum of the iid sample:  $\frac{d}{d\theta} l_{X_1}(\theta), \dots, \frac{d}{d\theta} l_{X_n}(\theta)$  with:

$$E \left[ \frac{d}{d\theta} l_{X_i}(\theta) \right] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad V \left( \frac{d}{d\theta} l_{X_i}(\theta) \right) = E \left[ \left( \frac{d}{d\theta} l_{X_i}(\theta) \right)^2 \right] - 0^2 = I(\theta)$$

Therefore, it follows from the **central limit theorem** for the true  $\theta$ :

$$\sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\left( \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{d\theta} l_{X_i}(\theta) \right) - 0}{\sqrt{I(\theta)}} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

Henceforth, we have for large  $n$ :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{I(\theta)}} \cdot l'_X(\theta) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$